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1

Integration is concerned with the problem of finding the area of a region under a curve.
Let us start with a simple problem : Find the area $A$ of the region enclosed by a circle of radius $r$. For an arbitrary $n$, consider the $n$ equal inscribed and superscibed triangles as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1


Figure 2


Since A is between the total areas of the inscribed and superscribed triangles, we have

$$
n r^{2} \sin (\pi / n) \cos (\pi / n) \leq A \leq n r^{2} \tan (\pi / n)
$$

By sandwich theorem, $A=\pi r^{2}$. We will use this idea to define and evaluate the area of the region under a graph of a function.

Suppose $f$ is a non-negative function defined on the interval $[a, b]$. We first subdivide the interval into a finite number of subintervals. Then we squeeze the area of the region under the graph of $f$ between the areas of the inscribed and superscribed rectangles constructed over the subintervals as shown in Figure 2. If the total areas of the inscribed and superscribed rectangles converge to the same limit as we make the partition of $[a, b]$ finer and finer then the area of the region under the graph of $f$ can be defined as this limit and $f$ is said to be integrable.

Let us define whatever has been explained above formally.

## The Riemann Integral

Let $[a, b]$ be a given interval. A partition $P$ of $[a, b]$ is a finite set of points $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $a=x_{0} \leq x_{1} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_{n}=b$. We write $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.

If $P$ is a partition of $[a, b]$ we write $\Delta x_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $f$ be a bounded real valued function on $[a, b]$. For a partition $P$ of $[a, b]$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{i}=\sup \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\} \text { and } m_{i}=\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\} \\
U(P, f)=\sum_{1}^{n} M_{i} \Delta x_{i} \text { and } L(P, f)=\sum_{1}^{n} m_{i} \Delta x_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

The numbers $U(P, f)$ and $L(P, f)$ are called upper and lower Riemann sums for the partition $P$ (see Figure 2).

Since $f$ is bounded, there exist real numbers $m$ and $M$ such that $m \leq f(x) \leq M$, for all $x \in[a, b]$. Thus for every partition $P$,

$$
m(b-a) \leq L(P, f) \leq U(P, f) \leq M(b-a)
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x=\inf U(P, f) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x=\sup L(P, f) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) and (2) are called upper and lower Riemann integrals of $f$ over $[a, b]$ respectively.

If the upper and lower integrals are equal, we say that $f$ is Riemann integrable or integrable. In this case the common value of (1) and (2) is called the Riemann integral of $f$ and is denoted by $\int_{a}^{b} f d x$ or $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$.

Examples: 1. Consider the function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1 \text { and } f(x)=0 \text { for all } x \in[0,1] \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then $f$ is integrable. We show this using the definition as follows. For any partition $P$ of $[0,1]$, $L(P, f)$ is always 0 and hence the lower integral is 0 . Let us evaluate the upper integral. Let $P=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, . ., x_{n}\right\}$ be any partition of $[0,1]$ and $\frac{1}{2} \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ for some $i$. Then $U(P, f) \leq 2 \max \Delta x_{j}$. Since we can always choose a partition $P$ such that $\max \Delta x_{j}$ is as small as possible, the upper integral, which is the infimum of $U(P, f)^{\prime} s$, is 0 . Hence, $f$ is integrable and $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=0$.
2. Not every bounded function is integrable. For example the function

$$
f(x)=1 \text { if } x \text { is rational and } 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

is not integrable over any interval $[a, b]$ (Check this).
In general, determining whether a bounded function on $[a, b]$ is integrable, using the definition, is difficult. For the purpose of checking the integrability, we give a criterion for integrability, called Riemann criterion, which is analogous to the Cauchy criterion for the convergence of a sequence.

Let us define some concepts and results before presenting the criterion. Throughout, we will assume that $f$ is a bounded real function on $[a, b]$.

Definition: A partition $P_{2}$ of $[a, b]$ is said to be finer than a partition $P_{1}$ if $P_{2} \supset P_{1}$. In this case we say that $P_{2}$ is a refinement of $P_{1}$. Given two partition $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, the partition $P_{1} \cup P_{2}=P$ is called their common refinement.

The following theorem illustrates that refining partition increases lower terms and decreases upper terms.

Theorem 1: Let $P_{2}$ be a refinement of $P_{1}$ then $L\left(P_{1}, f\right) \leq L\left(P_{2}, f\right)$ and $U\left(P_{2}, f\right) \leq U\left(P_{1}, f\right)$.
Proof (*): First we assume that $P_{2}$ contains just one more point than $P_{1}$. Let this extra point be $x^{\star}$. Suppose $x_{i-1}<x^{\star}<x_{i}$, where $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ are consecutive points of $P_{1}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & =\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x^{\star}\right\} \text { and } \\
w_{2} & =\inf \left\{f(x): x^{\star} \leq x \leq x_{i} .\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $w_{1} \geq m_{i}$ and $w_{2} \geq m_{i}$ where $m_{i}=\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\}$. Then

$$
L\left(P_{2}, f\right)-L\left(P_{1}, f\right)=w_{1}\left(x^{\star}-x_{i-1}\right)+w_{2}\left(x_{i}-x^{\star}\right)-m_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

If $P_{2}$ contains $k$ more points then we repeat this process $k$-times. The other inequality is analogously proved. (Prove it).

The geometric interpretation suggests that the lower integral is less than or equal to the upper integral. So the next result is also anticipated.

Corollary 2: $\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x \geq \underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x$.
Proof (*): Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be two partitions and let $P$ be their common refinement. Then

$$
L\left(P_{1}, f\right) \leq L(P, f) \leq U(P, f) \leq U\left(P_{2}, f\right)
$$

Thus for any two partitions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, we have $L\left(P_{1}, f\right) \leq U\left(P_{2}, f\right)$.
 desired result.

In the following result we present the Reimann criterion (a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the integral of a bounded function).

Theorem 3 : (Riemann's criterion for integrability): $f$ is integrable on $[a, b] \Leftrightarrow$ for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a partition $P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(P, f)-L(P, f)<\epsilon \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof (*): For any P, we have

$$
L(P, f) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f d x \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x \leq U(P, f)
$$

Therefore (3) implies that

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x-\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x<\epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon>0
$$

 there exist partitions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that

$$
U\left(P_{2}, f\right)-\int_{a}^{b} f d x<\epsilon / 2 \text { and } \int_{a}^{b} f d x-L\left(P_{1}, f\right)<\epsilon / 2
$$

Let $P$ be the common refinement of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Then $U(P, f)-L(P, f)<\epsilon$.
The proof of the following corollary is immediate from the previous theorem.
Corollary 3: Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Suppose $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of partitions of $[a, b]$ such that $U\left(P_{n}, f\right)-L\left(P_{n}, f\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $f$ is integrable.
Problem : Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}\frac{1}{n} & \text { if } x=\frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$ Show that $f$ is integrable and find $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x$.

Solution: We will use the Riemann criterion to show that $f$ is integrable on [0, 1]. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. We will choose a partition $P$ such that $U P, f)-L(P, f)<\varepsilon$. Since $1 / n \rightarrow 0$, there exists $N$ such that $1 / n \in[0, \varepsilon]$ for all $n>N$. So only finite number of $\frac{1^{\prime}}{n} s$ lie in the interval $[\epsilon, 1]$. Cover these finite number of $\frac{1^{\prime}}{n} s$ by the intervals $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right]$ such that $x_{i} \in[\varepsilon, 1]$ for all
$i=1,2, . ., m$ and the sum of the length of these $m$ intervals is less than $\varepsilon$. Consider the partition $P=\left\{0, \varepsilon, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. It is clear that $U(P, f)-L(P, f)<2 \varepsilon$. Hence by the Reimann criterion the function is integrable. Since the lower integral is 0 and the function is integrable, $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=0$.

We will apply the Riemann criterion for integrability to prove the following two existence theorems.

Theorem 4: If $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ then $f$ is integrable.
Proof: Let $\epsilon>0$. Since $f$ is uniformly continuous, choose $\delta>0$ such that $|s-t|<\delta \Rightarrow$ $|f(s)-f(t)|<\epsilon$ for $s, t \in[a, b]$.

Let $P$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ such that $\Delta x_{i}<\delta \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
M_{i}-m_{i} \leq \epsilon \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Hence

$$
U(P, f)-L(P, f)=\sum_{1}^{n}\left(M_{i}-m_{i}\right) \Delta x_{i} \leq \epsilon(b-a) .
$$

This implies that $f$ is integrable.
Theorem 5: If $f$ is a monotone function then $f$ integrable.
Proof : Suppose $f$ is monotonically increasing (the proof is similar in the other case.)
Choose a partition $P$ such that $\Delta x_{i}=\frac{b-a}{n}$. Then $M_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $m_{i}=f\left(x_{i-1}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(P, f)-L(P, f) & =\frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{1}^{n}\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{b-a}{n}[f(b)-f(a)] \\
& <\epsilon \quad \text { for large } n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f$ is integrable.
In the following problem we will see that limit and integral cannot be interchanged.
Problem : Let $g_{n}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{n y^{n-1}}{1+y} & \text { if } 0 \leq y<1 \\ 0 & \text { if } y=1\end{array}\right.$. Then prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} g_{n}(y) d y=\frac{1}{2}$ whereas $\int_{0}^{1} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}(y) d y=0$.

Solution : From the ratio test for sequences we can show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n y^{n-1}}{1+y}=0$, for each $0<y<1$. Therefore $\int_{0}^{1} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}(y) d y=0$.

For the other part, use integration by parts to see that $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{n y^{n-1}}{1+y} d y=\frac{1}{2}+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y^{n}}{(1+y)^{2}} d y$. Note that $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y^{n}}{(1+y)^{2}} d y \leq \int_{0}^{1} y^{n}=\frac{1}{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} g_{n}(y) d y=\frac{1}{2}$.

